

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,416	04/05/2001	Tatsuya Arao	0756-2293	5035	
22204	7590 04/10/2002				
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, THIEN F		
MCLEAN, V	A 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i></i>			
•	09/826,416	ARAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Thien F Tran	2811				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover she t with the c	correspond nce addr ss	,			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·—	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		rosecution as to the ment	s is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	I53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 21-29 is/are pending in the	• •					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 21-29 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a alastian samisanant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c Application Papers	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prediction 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ ·			

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-11 and 21-29 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 3, "or" should be --and--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 3, "or" should be --and--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Noguchi (US 6,285,041).

Art Unit: 2811

Noguchi discloses the claimed semiconductor device (Fig. 18) comprising a data wiring (a first wiring) 117 and a pixel electrode (a second wiring) 117 formed on an insulating surface; barrier metal (106, 107) formed so as to correspond to the data wiring and the pixel electrode; a first semiconductor film 105 of one conductivity type formed on the barrier metal; a second semiconductor film 104 formed on an upper layer of the first semiconductor film across the data wiring and the pixel electrode; a gate insulating film 103 formed on the second semiconductor film; and a gate electrode (a third conductive film) 102 formed on the gate insulating film, wherein an end portion of the second conductive film 104 is formed inside an end portion of the barrier metal.

Regarding claims 7, 8, 22 and 27, each of the data wiring and the pixel electrode comprises an indium tin oxide film (ITO).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6, 21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi (US 6,285,041) in view of Kim et al. (US 5,917,564).

Noguchi as described above does not explicitly disclose the data wiring 117 and the pixel electrode 117 comprising aluminum. Kim et al. discloses a semiconductor device (Figs. 9A-9C) comprising a second conductive layer of aluminum that is patterned as a data wiring 2 and as a pixel electrode 17. It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2811

a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the data wiring 117 and the pixel electrode 117 in Noguchi of aluminum as taught by Kim et al. in order to provide wirings of low resistivity.

Claims 9, 10, 11, 23, 24, 25, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi (US 6,285,041) in view of Kim et al. (US 6,100,954).

Noguchi as described above does not explicitly disclose the gate electrode (third conductive film) 102 comprising at least one element selected from the group consisting of Al, Cr, Ta, Ti, W and an alloy containing the element. These materials are known in the art and routinely used to form gate electrodes in semiconductor device as shown for example by Kim et al. (see col. 16, lines 66-67 and col. 17, lines 1-2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select any one of these materials as a suitable conductive material for the gate electrode of 102 of Noguchi, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claims 11, 24, 25 and 29, the recitation "the semiconductor device is one selected from the group consisting of a mobile phone, a video camera, a portable information terminal, a liquid crystal TV receiver, a portable book, a personal computer, a DVD player, and a digital still camera" in the claim preamble specifies an intended use or field of use is treated as nonlimiting since it has been held that in device claims, intended use must result in a structural difference between the claim invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claim invention from the prior art. If the

Art Unit: 2811

prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987). Furthermore, it is known that all of these devices employ a liquid crystal display device. It would have been obvious to incorporate the liquid crystal display device of the above combined references into these devices for the advantages that the liquid crystal display device provides as described above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien F Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4108. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 3:30PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2811

tt April 1, 2002

> Thien Tran Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800